

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/822,647	0	3/30/2001	· Kazushi Wada	09792909-4799	7645
26263	7590	05/28/2003			·
SONNEN	SCHEIN N	IATH & ROSEN	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX (WACKER	DRIVE STA		SOWARD, IDA M		
CHICAGO	, IL 60606	-1080		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2822	
				DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	•	09/822,647	WADA, KAZUSHI	Ü
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ida M Soward	2822	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address -	-
THE ! - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	6 January 2003 .		
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims			s is
4)🖂	Claim(s) $1-3$ is/are pending in the application	n.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	d/or election requirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	<u></u>	the Evaminer	
10/	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) 🗆 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	***		
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
12) 🗌 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• •		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	.д., р, шш со стого	3	
-/-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I	riority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	•		
•	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		ation).
) \square The translation of the foreign language $\mathfrak p$			
Attachment	c(s)			
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-•

Application/Control Number: 09/822,647

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed January 6, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art Figure 4 in view of Yamashita et al. (5,463,232).

Admitted Prior Art Figure 4 teaches a solid state imaging device having an output portion 413 connected to an output end of a horizontal transfer register 112, the output portion having a gate structure 142 including an oxide film 121 and a nitride film 122, the solid-state imaging device comprising: upper layer films 151 & 161 allowing light to pass through; a first metal made shield film 155 formed in such a manner as to cover a region of the gate structure including an oxide film being disposed above a transfer portion of the solid state imaging device, wherein a metal made film 145 has an opening at a position directly over a floating diffusion region 143 of the solid state imaging device; a film 161 capable of absorbing ultraviolet rays, the organic film being formed in such a manner as to cover a region of the gate structure including an oxide film and a nitride film, excluding a transfer portion of the solid state imaging device; and a wavelength of 400 nm or less. However, Admitted Prior Art Figure 4 fails to teach a second metal made shield film. Yamashita et al. teach a second 272 metal made shield film (Figure 22, col. 13, lines 3-12). Since Admitted Prior Art Figure 4 and Yamashita et

Application/Control Number: 09/822,647

Art Unit: 2822

al. are from the same field of endeavor (solid-state imaging devices), the purpose disclosed by Yamashita et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Admitted Prior Art Figure 4. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the solid-state imaging device of Admitted Prior Art Figure 4 by incorporating the second metal made shield of Yamashita et al. to enhance image sense performance (col. 14, lines 9-25).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art Figure 4 and Yamashita et al. (5,463,232) as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Haga et al. (5,140,397).

Admitted Prior Art Figure 4 and Yamashita et al. teach all mentioned in the rejection above. However, Admitted Prior Art Figure 4 and Yamashita et al. fail to teach an organic film capable of absorbing rays. Haga et al. teach an organic film 11 capable of absorbing rays (Figure 3, col. 6, lines 1-19). Since Admitted Prior Art Figure 4, Yamashita et al. and Haga et al. are from the same field of endeavor (solid-state imaging devices), the purpose disclosed by Haga et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Admitted Prior Art Figure 4 and Yamashita et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the solid-state imaging device of Admitted Prior Art Figure 4 and the second metal made shield of Yamashita et al. by incorporating the organic film of Haga et al. to lower manufacturing costs (col. 3, lines 52-55).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/822,647

Art Unit: 2822

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to solid-state imaging devices:

Inuiya (4,641,169)

Koike et al. (4,364,973)

Miida (US 6,512,547)

Suzuki (4,467,341).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ida M. Soward whose telephone number is (703) 305-3308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian, can be reached at (703) 308-4905. The Group fax number is (703) 872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

ims 5/17/03

Stephen D. Meier Primary Examiner